AO 120 (Rev. 08/10) REPORT ON THE Mail Stop 8 TO: FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 TRADEMARK In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been District of Delaware filed in the U.S. District Court ☑ Patents. ( ☐ the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.): ☐ Trademarks or U.S. DISTRICT COURT DATE FILED DOCKET NO. 12/15/2011 District of Delaware DEFENDANT PLAINTIFF **BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS PLC** GOOGLE INC. PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 1 6,151,309 11/21/2000 British Telecommunications plc 2 6,169,515 1/2/2001 British Telecommunications plc 3 6,397,040 5/28/2002 British Telecommunications plc 4 6,578,079 6/10/2003 British Telecommunications plc 11/18/2003 British Telecommunications plc 5 6,650,284 6 6,826,598 11/30/2004 British Telecommunications plc In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment ☐ Answer ☐ Cross Bill ☐ Other Pleading PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 3 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT Stipulation of Dismissal CLERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE 3-13-14 John A. Cerino

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

IN THE UNITED STA FOR THE DISTRI			
BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS PLC,	)		FEB 19 2014
Plaintiff,	)		a de la companya de l
v.	)	C.A. No. 11-1249 (LPS)	
GOOGLE INC.	)		
Defendant.	)		

# STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), Plaintiff, British Telecommunications PLC, and Defendant, Google Inc., hereby stipulate to the dismissal of the action and that each party shall bear its own costs. All claims of infringement that Plaintiff raised or could have raised in this action are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. The dismissal shall be effective upon the issuance of a decision by the Court on plaintiff's motion to vacate (D.I. 413).

# YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

## /s/ Monté T. Squire

Melanie K. Sharp (No. 2501)
Monté T. Squire (No. 4764)
Robert M. Vrana (No. 5666)
Rodney Square
1000 North King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
P.O. Box 391
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391
(302) 571-6681
msharp@ycst.com

# PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

James H. Shalek
Baldassare Vinti
Nolan M. Goldberg
Joon R. Yoon
Fabio E. Tarud
Jonathan Sharret
Elias Schilowitz
Eleven Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 969-3000

Attorneys for British Telecommunications plc

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

### /s/ Paul Saindon

Jack B. Blumenfeld (No. 1014)
Paul Saindon (No. 5110)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
psaindon@mnat.com

#### OF COUNSEL:

WHITE & CASE LLP
Dimitrios T. Drivas
Kevin X. McGann
Jeffrey J. Oelke
John P. Scheibeler
Robert E. Counihan
Leon Miniovich
1155 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 819-8200

Attorneys for Google Inc.

SO ORDERED this

1 PUSONUL \( \square 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE